EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC EA Project No.: 14342128 May 2020

Table 2. General Response Actions and Potential Applicable Technologies - Soil

General Response Action	Remedial Technology	Process Oution	Description	Effectiveness	Implementability	Cost	Potential for Retain for Further Evaluation
	Type						
No Further Action	None	None	No further action to address contaminated soil and sediment.	Will not address the remedial objectives.	None	None	Yes as baseline for evaluation process
Institutional Controls	Access and Use	Land Use Controls	Land use restriction (i.e., deed notice or restrictive covenant) is	Will prevent direct exposure to the contaminants; therefore it will address	Implementable	Low	Yes
	Consolidation and Capping	Clay Cap, Synthetic Membrane, or Chemical Sealant or Stabilizer	A cap is installed to cover the contaminated area to prevent direct	Will prevent direct contact and exposure to the contaminated soil, althou	ch Implementable with commercially available equipment; potential worker and		Not as a stand-alone technology and it is
Containment			exposure to the contamination. Different materials can be used for the	the it does not remove the source of the contamination. It will address the	community exposure to dust; administrative controls will be required.	Medium	included in containment cell option
			cap and typical materials include clay, synthetic membranes, and	relevant remedial objectives.			
		Excavation and Onsite Disposal	Contaminated soil is excavated and placed in a containment cell	Will prevent direct contact and exposure to the contaminated soil, and	Implementable with commercially available equipment. Potential worker and	Medium, but the quantity of the	Yes
Removal	Excavation and Disposal	Excavation and Offsite Disposal	Contaminated soil are excavated and transported to a permitted	Will remove the contaminated soil from the site. It will address the relevant	community exposure to dust during the construction therefore dust controls will be	Medium	Yes
		Excavation and Offsite Disposal	offsite feeility for disposel	romodial objectives	in implementable	Weditilii	ies
Treatment		Excavation and Chemical Oxidation	Oxidizing agents (Fenton's reagent, permanganate, ozone, and	Chemical oxidation will destroy the contaminants to become less toxic;	Implementable, and a bench scale testing is required to determine oxidant dosage.	High, can be cost prohibitive if the s	oil No, due to potential mobilization of metals to t
			hypochlorites) are added into the excavated soil to promote abiotic	however some metals (chromium) may become mobile once being oxidize	d	contains high organic matter.	groundwater
		Excavation and Soil Mixing and Stabilization/Solidification	Reagents are mixed with excavated soil by a mechanical mixing		Implementable with commercially available equipment; treatability study is required	High	No, due to high cost
		Excavation and Soil Washing	Contaminants in soil are desorbed by using a solution of leaching	Will address the remedial objectives by removing the contaminants from	Complex process and produces a large quantity of process water that requires		No, due to complex implementation and cost
			agent, surfactant, pH-adjustment, or chelating agent to help remove	, , ,	treatment. Acid reagent may be used to remove lead from soil, which increase the	High	170, due to complex implementation and cost
	Ex situ Physical,	Excavation and Thermal Treatment		e Will destroy the contaminants (i.e., lead and PAHs), so it will address the	Not readily implementable, treatability studies required; significant materials		No, due to complex implementation and cost
	Chemical Treatment		contaminants. An off-gas treatment will be used to treat the	remedial objectives.	handling; specialized equipment and operators; extended construction/ treatment	High	<u>r</u>
		Landfarming	Landfarming is used for the biological treatment of contaminated so	oil. Landfarming is typically applicable to nonvolatile and semi- volatile	Implementable, however it may take a long period of time depending on		No due to ineffectiveness for PAHs with more
			It consists of spreading excavated contaminated soil either directly of	on compounds. Biodegradation of PAHs becomes more difficult as the	biodegradation process in the soil.	Low	aromatic rings and lead
		In Situ	Contaminated soil is mixing in place with reagents to form a solid	May stabilize both organic and metal contaminants. Will need institutional	Implementable with commercially available equipment; treatability study is required	High	No due to high cost
	In Situ Treatment	S low-lightness of difference	Plants are used to remove, transfer, stabilize and destroy	Effectiveness of phytoremediation can be seasonal; in some cases it is	To determine account decemps may take lancer time to treet		No, due to uncertainty of effectiveness
		Phytoremediation	contaminants in soil. Biodegradation takes place in the soil	limited to shallow soil. It is uncertain if the contaminant concentrations ar	Implementable	Low	•
NOTE:			•				
COC = Contaminant of concern IST	D = In Situ Thermal Desorption	RH = Electrical resistive heating	MNA = Monitored natural attenuation				
CO = In situ chemical oxidation		SVE = Soil vapor extraction	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon				-

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc., PBC EA Project No.: 14342128

Revision: DRAFT Table 3. Page 2 of 2

May 2020

## Table 3. General Response Actions and Potential Applicable Technologies - Groundwater

General Response Action	Remedial Technology	<b>Process Option</b>	Description	Effectiveness	Implementability	Cost	Potential for Retain for Further Evaluation
No Further Action+A4:H12	None	None		Will not address the remedial objectives	None	None	Yes as baseline for evaluation process
Institutional Controls	Access and Use Restrictions	Groundwater Use Control	Restriction on groundwater use	Will prevent receptors' direct	Implementable, however	Low	Yes
Monitoring	Monitored Natural Attenuation	Monitoring	Groundwater monitoring to	Will be effective if the	Implementable	Low	Yes
Containment	Vertical Barriers	Slurry Wall	_	Will not remove or treat the contaminants, although it will	Implementable	Low to Medium	No due to ineffectiveness without other treatment system.
Removal	Removal or Extraction	Pump and Treat	Conventional ground water	May need multiple treatment	Implementable, but the process	Moderate to High	No due to complexity of the treatment
	In situ Biological Treatment	Enhanced Aerobic	Injection of substrate	Effective for organics and will	Implementable, and may require	Low	Yes
	In situ Physical, Chemical	In situ chemical oxidation (ISCO)	3	1	Implementable and require a bench scale testing to determine	Moderate to high, high total organic matter in the soil may cause a higher oxidant dosing and	No due to potential impact to water wells nearby by the chemical injection
	Treatment	Air Sparging	Air is injected into saturated	Will address the remedial	Implementable for organic	Medium	No due to uncertainty on arsenic
Treatment	In situ Physical, Chemical Treatment (continued)	Thermal Treatment	Electrical resistive heating	objectives for organic volatile	require a lot of energy	High	No due to high cost and not addressing arsenic.